

The Effect of Finite Memory Cutoff on Loop Erased Walk in Z^3

Wei-Shih Yang

Department of Mathematics

Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122

Aklilu Zeleke

Math and Computer Science Department

Alma College, Alma MI 48801

Abstract Let S_n be a simple random walk (SRW) defined on Z^3 . We construct a stochastic process from S_n by erasing loops of length at most N^α , where $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and N is the scaling parameter that will be taken to infinity in determining the limiting distribution. We call this process the N^α loop erased walk (N^α LEW). Under some assumptions we will prove that for $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{1+2\zeta}$, the limiting distribution is Gaussian. Here ζ is the intersection exponent of random walks in Z^3 . For $\alpha > 2$ the limiting distribution is equal to the limiting distribution of the loop erased walk.

Key Words Loop erased walk, N^α loop erased walk

1. INTRODUCTION

A loop erased walk (LEW) is a stochastic process constructed from the simple random walk (SRW) by erasing paths that lead to the formation of loops. G. Lawler has proven that the limiting distribution of LEW in $Z^d, d \geq 4$ is Gaussian (see [L1]). The low dimensional cases remain open. It is conjectured, however, that it is non-Gaussian.

In this paper we consider a stochastic process constructed from the SRW in Z^3 by erasing loops using only finite memory. At each step the first N^α loops will be erased (see section 2 for the definition of N^α loops). Here $\alpha \in [0, \infty]$, and N is a scaling parameter which will be taken to ∞ in determining the limiting distribution of LEW. We call this process the N^α LEW. Note that $\alpha = 0$ is the case of SRW and $\alpha = \infty$ is that of LEW. Under some assumptions we will prove that the N^α LEW has a Gaussian distribution for $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{1+2\zeta}$, where ζ is the intersection exponent of random walks in Z^3 . For $\alpha > 2$ we will show that the N^α LEW has the same limiting distribution as the original LEW. It can be implied from our work that if there is a critical point α_c then it must be between $\frac{1}{1+2\zeta}$ and 2. The existence of α_c and the behavior of the N^α loop erased walk for $\frac{1}{1+2\zeta} < \alpha < 2$ remain open.

2. The N^α LOOP ERASED WALK

Let $\lambda = [S_i, S_{i+1}, \dots, S_j]$ be a segment of a path of an SRW. We say that λ forms an N^α loop if $S_i = S_j$ and $0 \leq |i - j| \leq N^\alpha$ for some fixed N and α . Let $\sigma_\alpha(0) = \sup\{j : S(j) = 0, |j| \leq N^\alpha\}$, and for $i > 0$ $\sigma_\alpha(i) = \sup\{j > \sigma_\alpha(i-1) : S(j) = S(\sigma_\alpha(i-1) + 1), |j - \sigma_\alpha(i-1) - 1| \leq N^\alpha\}$. We define the N^α LEW by $\hat{S}_i^{(N)} = S(\sigma_\alpha(i))$. From now on we write $\sigma(i)$ for $\sigma_\alpha(i)$. However, sometimes we explicitly write $\sigma_\alpha(i)$ to indicate to the reader the dependence of $\sigma(i)$ on α . Our goal is to find $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\hat{S}_N^{(N)}}{N^\gamma}$, for some γ in Z^3 . We say that n belongs to an N^α loop if $\exists i$ and j such that $i \leq n \leq j$ with $S_i = S_j$ and $|i - j| \leq N^\alpha$. For each n we say n is N^α loop free if n does not belong to an N^α loop. Suppose n is N^α loop free. Then loop erasing before n and after n are independent. If n is N^α loop free, then n is not erased. However the converse is not in general true. In order to analyze the behavior of $\hat{S}_N^{(N)}$ for large N we need to investigate how many steps of the SRW remain after the first N^α loops have been erased. Note that we may still have some small loops remaining after the first N^α loops have been erased.

However, the algorithm to generate $\hat{S}_n$ only requires finite memory depending on n . Let $\rho_\alpha(j) = i$ if $\sigma_i \leq j < \sigma_{i+1}$. Then, $\rho_\alpha(\sigma(i)) = i$, $\sigma(\rho_\alpha(j)) \leq j$. Let $Y_n = 1$ if $\sigma(i) = n$ for some $i \geq 0$, and $Y_n = 0$ otherwise. Then $\rho(n) = \sum_{j=0}^n Y_j$ is the number of points remaining of the first n points after the first N^α loops are erased. Let $a_{n,\alpha} = E(Y_{n,\alpha})$ be the probability that the n^{th} point is not erased. For the asymptotic behavior of $\rho_\alpha(N)$, we have,

Theorem 2.1 For $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{1+2\zeta}$, $\frac{\rho_\alpha(N)}{Na_{N,\alpha}} \rightarrow 1$ in probability as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

G. Lawler proved analogous results in higher dimensions for $\alpha = \infty$ (see [L1]). Our next result is about the limiting distribution of the N^α LEW. Let F_N be defined by $F_N = [\sigma_\alpha(N)a_{\sigma_\alpha(N)}]$. Here by $[\cdot]$ we mean the greatest integer function. Then we have,

Theorem 2.2 (a) $\frac{S_{F_N}}{\sqrt{N}} \rightarrow \Phi$, where Φ is a normal random variable.
(b) Suppose $a_{N,\alpha} \sim \text{const} \cdot N^{-q}$, for some $q > 0$. Let $\tau_N = N^{-q/(1-q)}$. Then $\frac{S_{\sigma_\alpha(N)\sqrt{\tau_N}}}{\sqrt{N}} \rightarrow \Phi$.

Clearly, q satisfies $0 < q \leq \alpha\zeta$. However, we were unable to prove the existence of q . For a sufficiently large α we have,

Theorem 2.3 Let $c_N = (E(|S_{\sigma_\alpha(N)}|^2))^{1/2}$, and $d_N = (E(|S_{\sigma(N)}|^2))^{1/2}$. Suppose that $\frac{S_{\sigma_\alpha(N)}}{c_N}$ or $\frac{S_{\sigma(N)}}{d_N}$ converge in distribution. If $\alpha > 2$, then $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{\sigma_\alpha(N)}}{c_N} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{\sigma(N)}}{d_N}$, in distribution. Here $\sigma(N) = \sigma_\alpha(N)$ with $\alpha = \infty$.

3. PROOFS

For $0 \leq j < k < \infty$, we denote by $Z(j, k)$ the indicator function of the event "there is no N^α loop free point between j and k including j and k ".

Lemma 3.1 There exist constants c_1, c_2 such that if $\beta > \alpha$, then $E(Z(k - N^\beta, k)) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 N^{\beta-\alpha}}$.

Proof: From Theorem 1.1 of [L2] it follows that there is a c_3 such that in the interval $[k - 4N^\alpha]$ the probability of an N^α loop free point is at least c_3 .

Consider now an interval I of length N^β divided into $\frac{1}{4}N^{\beta-\alpha}$ small intervals of length $4N^\alpha$. Then the probability of no N^α loop free point in I is bounded by $(1 - c_3)^{\frac{1}{4}N^{\beta-\alpha}}$ which can be written in the form $c_1 e^{-c_2 N^{\beta-\alpha}}$.

Suppose that for some k , where $0 \leq k \leq N$, N^α loops are erased only on $S[k, \infty)$, so that S_k is considered to be the origin. Let $Y_{N,k}$ be the probability that S_N is not erased in this procedure. Clearly $E(Y_{N,k}) = a_{N-k}$. Now suppose $0 \leq k \leq N - N^\beta$, for some $\beta < 1$ and $Z(N - N^\beta, N) = 0$. Then it can be shown that $Y_{N,k} = Y_N$, and hence by Lemma 3.1, $|a_N - a_{N,k}| \leq P\{Y_N \neq Y_{N,k}\} \leq E(Z(N - N^\beta, N)) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 N^{\beta-\alpha}}$. Thus, for $N^\beta \leq k \leq N$,

$$|a_k - a_N| \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 N^{\beta-\alpha}} \leq c_1 a_N N^{\alpha\zeta} e^{-c_2 N^{\beta-\alpha}} \quad (1)$$

Proof of Thm 2.1 For each N , choose $0 \leq j_0 < j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m = N$, such that $j_i - j_{i-1} \sim N^{1-\alpha\zeta-\delta}$, uniformly in i . Then $m \sim N^{\alpha\zeta+\delta}$. Erase loops on each interval $[j_i, j_{i+1}]$ separately. Let $\tilde{Y}_k$ be the indicator function of the event " S_k is not erased in this finite loop-erasing". Let $K_0 = [0, 0]$, and $\epsilon_1 > \delta$. Then, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, define the intervals K_i and K'_i by $K_i = [j_i - N^{1-2\alpha\zeta-\epsilon_1}, j_i]$, $K'_i = [j_i, j_i + N^{1-2\alpha\zeta-\epsilon_1}]$. Let R_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, be the indicator function of the event $\{\exists \text{ no } N^\alpha \text{ loop free point in } K'_i \text{ or in } K_{i+1}\}$. Note that $R_i = 0$ if and only if $\exists N^\alpha$ loop free point in K'_i and in K_{i+1} . Thus if $j_i + N^{1-2\alpha\zeta-\epsilon_1} \leq k \leq j_{i+1} - N^{1-2\alpha\zeta-\epsilon_1}$ and $R_i = 0$, then $Y_k = \tilde{Y}_k$. Therefore for a sufficiently large N ,

$$|\sum_k Y_k - \tilde{Y}_k| \leq 2N^{1-\alpha\zeta-\epsilon_1+\delta} + 2N^{1-\alpha\zeta-\delta} \sum_i R_i. \quad (2)$$

Let $\lambda = 1 - 2\alpha\zeta - \epsilon_1 - \alpha$. Then,

$$P\{\sum_i R_i \geq \frac{1}{4}N^\gamma\} \leq 4c_1 e^{-c_2 N^\lambda} N^{\alpha\zeta+\delta-\gamma} \quad (3)$$

Since ϵ_1 is arbitrary, for $\alpha < \frac{1}{1+2\zeta}$, $\lambda > 0$ and the right side of (3) goes to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Let now $\epsilon_2 \ll \min\{\epsilon_1 - \delta; \frac{\delta}{2}\}$. Then using (2) we get

$$P\{\sum_k Y_k - \tilde{Y}_k \geq N^{1-\alpha\zeta-\epsilon_2}\} \leq P\{\sum_i R_i \geq \frac{1}{4}N^{\delta-\epsilon_2}\}. \quad (4)$$

Put $\delta - \epsilon_2 = \gamma$. Then (4) goes to 0 by (3). From (4) it follows that $\frac{1}{Na_N} \sum_k Y_k - \tilde{Y}_k \rightarrow 0$ in probability. We can write $\sum \tilde{Y}_k = 1 + \sum X_i$, where X_i are the independent random variables, $X_i = \sum_{k=j_i-1}^{j_i-1} \tilde{Y}_k$. Then, using (3) and Chebyshev's Inequality, we can show, $\frac{1}{E(\sum_k \tilde{Y}_k)} \sum_k \tilde{Y}_k \rightarrow 1$ in probability. From (3) and Lemma 3.1 follows that $E(\sum_{k=0}^N \tilde{Y}_k) \sim Na_N$, completing the proof of the theorem.

Propositon 3.1 Let $\sigma(N) = \sigma_\alpha(N)$ be defined as in section 2. Then

- (a) $\frac{\sigma(N)a_{\sigma(N)}}{N} \rightarrow 1$ in probability as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
- (b) Assume $a_N \sim \frac{1}{N^q}$ for some $q > 0$ and let $\tau_M \sim M^{-q/(1-q)}$. Then $\frac{\sigma(M)\tau_M}{M} \rightarrow 1$ in probability as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of (a): Let $s > 0$ be a constant. It suffices to prove that $\frac{\sigma(M_t)a_{\sigma(M_t)}}{M_t}$ converges to 1 a.s. for any sequence $M_t \geq t^s$. By Theorem 2.1 $\exists \Omega' \subset \Omega$ such that $P(\Omega') = 1$ and $\frac{\rho_\alpha(N_t)}{N_t a_{N_t}} - 1 \rightarrow 0, \forall \omega \in \Omega'$. Let N'_t be a sequence such that $N'_t \geq t^s$. Then for a fixed t there exists a sequence ξ_t such that $\xi_t^s \leq N'_t < (\xi_t + 1)^s$. Note that $t \leq \xi_t$. For $\omega \in \Omega'$,

$$\rho_\alpha(\xi_t^s)((\xi_t + 1)^s a_{N'_t})^{-1} \leq \rho_\alpha(N'_t)(N'_t a_{N'_t})^{-1} \leq \rho_\alpha(\xi_t + 1)^s (\xi_t^s a_{N'_t})^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

By Theorem 2.1 and (2) the upper and lower bounds of this inequality converge to 1 in probability. Substituting $\sigma(M_t)$ for N'_t gives $M_t(\sigma(M_t)a_{\sigma(M_t)})^{-1} \rightarrow 1$

Proof of (b) From (a) we have $\sigma(M_t)a_{\sigma(M_t)}(M_t)^{-1} \rightarrow 1$. By assumption, $\frac{\sigma(M_t)\sigma(M_t)^{-q}}{M_t} \rightarrow 1$. Therefore, $\frac{\sigma(M_t)(\omega)}{M_t^{1/(1-q)}} \rightarrow 1$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since this holds for all $M_t \geq t^s$, $\sigma(N)(N^{1/(1-q)})^{-1} \rightarrow 1$ in probability. By Proposition 3.1a, $\frac{N^{1/(1-q)}}{\sigma(N)} \cdot \frac{\sigma(N)a_{\sigma(N)}}{N} \rightarrow 1$ in probability. This and Proposition 3.1a imply $a_{\sigma(N)}(\tau_N)^{-1} \rightarrow 1$ in probability. Using Prop.3.1a again, we get, $\sigma(N)\tau_N(N)^{-1} \rightarrow 1$ in probability. Hence $[\sigma_\alpha(N)a_{\sigma_\alpha(N)}](N)^{-1} \rightarrow 1$ in probability.

Proof of Thm 2.2 The proof of Theorem 2.2 follows from Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.1.

Theorem 3.1 Let X_j be i.i.d. random variables with $E(X_j) = 0$ and

$\text{Var}(X_j) = 1$. Let ν_n be positive integer valued random variables such that $\frac{\nu_n}{n} \rightarrow c$ in probability. Then $\frac{S_{\nu_n}}{\sqrt{cn^p}}$ converges in distribution to a standard normal random variable $\mathcal{N}$.

Proof of Thm 2.3 For each N , choose $0 \leq j_1 < j_2 \dots < j_m$, satisfying $j_i - j_{i-1} \sim N^\alpha$, $N^s - N^t \leq j_i \leq N^s$. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^m 1_{\{j_i\}}$. Then, $E(Z(N^s - N^t, N^s)) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 N^{t-\alpha}}$. Consider the interval $[0, N^s]$ divided into subintervals of length N^t , $t < s$. Then, $P\{\rho(N^s) < N^{s-t}\} \leq c_1 N^{s-t} e^{-c_2 N^{t-\alpha}}$ and $P\{N^s < \sigma(N^{s-t})\} \leq P\{\rho(N^s) < \rho(\sigma(N^{s-t}))\} \leq c_1 N^{s-t} e^{-c_2 N^{t-\alpha}}$.

Let $M = N^{s-t}$. Then, $P\{\sigma(M) > M^{\frac{s}{s-t}}\} \leq (c_1 M) e^{-c_2 M^{\frac{t-\alpha}{s-t}}}$. We show the L^2 norm of the difference of the N^α LEW and the LEW goes to 0. Let $e_N = \max\{c_N, d_N\}$. Then, $\|\frac{S_{\sigma_\alpha(N)}}{c_N} - \frac{S_{\sigma(N)}}{d_N}\|_2 \leq \frac{2\|S_{\sigma(N)} - S_{\sigma_\alpha(N)}\|_2}{e_N}$. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\omega \in \Omega : \exists \text{ a loop between } i \text{ and } j \text{ } 0 \leq i \leq \sigma_\alpha(N), |i - j| > N^\alpha\}$, $\mathcal{T} = \{\omega \in \Omega : \exists \text{ a loop between } i \text{ and } j \text{ } 0 \leq i \leq \sigma_\alpha(N), \sigma(N) > N^{\frac{s}{s-t}}, |i - j| > N^\alpha\}$ and $G = \{\omega \in \Omega : |\frac{S_{\sigma(N)} - S_{\sigma_\alpha(N)}}{e_N}| > M\}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the indicator function defined on $\mathcal{S}$. Then, $\frac{\|S_{\sigma(N)} - S_{\sigma_\alpha(N)}\|_2^2}{e_N^2} = E(\frac{|S_{\sigma(N)} - S_{\sigma_\alpha(N)}|^2}{e_N^2} \cdot \mathcal{I}) = \int_G \frac{|S_{\sigma(N)} - S_{\sigma_\alpha(N)}|^2}{e_N^2} \cdot \mathcal{I} dP + \int_{G^c} \frac{|S_{\sigma(N)} - S_{\sigma_\alpha(N)}|^2}{e_N^2} \cdot \mathcal{I} dP$. Then $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists M_0$ such that $\forall M \geq M_0, \int_G \frac{|S_{\sigma(N)} - S_{\sigma_\alpha(N)}|^2}{e_N^2} \cdot \mathcal{I} dP < \epsilon$, if $M \geq M_0$. Consider now the second summand with $M = M_0$. $\int_{G^c} \frac{|S_{\sigma(N)} - S_{\sigma_\alpha(N)}|^2}{e_N^2} \cdot \mathcal{I} dP \leq M_0^2 \int_\Omega \mathcal{I} dP = M_0^2 \cdot E1_{\mathcal{T}^c} + E1_{\mathcal{T}} \leq M_0^2 \cdot \{E1_{\mathcal{T}^c}\} + c_1 N^{s-t} e^{-c_2 N^{t-\alpha}} \sim M_0^2 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{N^{\frac{s}{s-t}}} \sum_{j=N^\alpha}^\infty \frac{1}{|j-i|^{3/2}} + N^{\frac{s-2t+3\alpha/2}{s-t}} \leq M_0^2 (\frac{N^{\frac{s}{s-t}}}{N^{\alpha/2}} + c_1 N^{s-t} e^{-c_2 N^{t-\alpha}})$. For $\alpha > 2$ there exist s and t such that the last term goes to 0.

Acknowledgement The authors are thankful to Professor Greg Lawler for constructive comments on the previous version of this paper and for suggesting a stronger version of Lemma 3.1.

REFERENCE

- [L1] Lawler, G. 1991. *Intersections of random walks*. (Birkhäuser Boston).
- [L2] Lawler, G., 1996. *Cut Times For Simple Random Walk*, EJP. **Vol 1**: Paper 13.
- [L3] Lawler, G., *Strict Concavity Of The Intersection Exponent For Brownian Motion in 2 And 3 Dimensions*, Math Physics Electronic Journal, 5 (1998).